HISTORY OF THE RESIDENT PARKING Committee

- Walker Study didn't listen to CNBCA and recommended meters in residential area.
- City staff didn't do outreach and followed Walker's recommendations.
- Council rejected initial staff report
- CNBCA asked a group of concerned residents to study parking issues from a resident's perspective.

- Resident group asked city staff for direction and whether parking permits were possible.
- City staff didn't engage and indicated that the Coastal Commission wouldn't approve.
- Residents gathered information:
 - Contacted the Coastal Commission and learned that they had indeed approved parking permits.
 - Researched and documented Balboa Fun Zone maximum occupancy rates and employee numbers.
 - Performed off-season parking inventory between Adams and 7th Street on Tuesdays and Thursdays and learned that the area is 87% occupied at 9 pm

- Residents gathered information continued:
 - Reviewed other beach communities parking policies.
 - Reviewed previous city and consultant reports and efforts.
- Residents prepared and submitted a report to Mayor Mike Henn, members of the City Council and staff.
- Mike Henn requested that report be considered as park of Balboa Village revitalization efforts and Resident Parking Committee/CNBCA agreed.
- Residents circulated a petition among property owners and residents concerning interest in a proposed parking permit program (RP3). The petition indicated 95% support with 58% signing the petition.

- Nelson Nygaard reviewed resident proposal and contacted the Coastal Commission to determine a viable approach to parking in the Balboa Fun Zone. Their proposal included suggestions from the resident report.
- CNBCA initiated and paid all expenses for the Parking Committee report.
- CNBCA subsequently had to pull their direct support from the parking committee indicating that they didn't have a policy to address parking.

- The city distributed a survey of all residents within the proposed RP3 area to determine interest in a parking permit program.
- Support basically was the same as in the original resident petition.